

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHARLENE STITH,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 1:23-cv-00947-NODJ-GSA

**ORDER RELATING AND REASSIGNING
CASES**

VICTORIA GREEN,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

Old Case No.: 1:23-cv-00973-JLT-EPG

New Case No. 1:23-cv-00973-NODJ-GSA

TREMAINE CARROLL,

Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No.: 1:23-cv-00974-NODJ-GSA
(Unchanged)

JONATHAN ROBERTSON,
Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Defendants.

Old Case No.: 1:23-cv-00975-NODJ-SAB
New Case No. 1:23-cv-00975-NODJ-GSA

FANCY MOORE LIPSEY,
Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Defendants.

Old Case No.: 1:23-cv-00976-NODJ-SAB
New Case No. 1:23-cv-00976-NODJ-GSA

RAYSHAWN HART,
Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Defendants.

Old Case No.: 1:23-cv-00977-JLT-BAM
New Case No. 1:23-cv-00977-NODJ-GSA

CRYSTAL GRAHAM,
Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Defendants.

Old Case No.: 1:23-cv-01323-JLT-BAM
New Case No. 1:23-cv-01323-NODJ-GSA

ROXANNE PURDAGONE,
Plaintiff,

v.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, et al.,
Defendants.

Old Case No.: 1:23-cv-01324-NODJ-SKO
New Case No. 1:23-cv-01324-NODJ-GSA

Pursuant to Local Rule 123, the Court finds the above-captioned actions are related. A review of the complaints in these cases shows they are related within the meaning of Local Rule

123(a), as they involve overlapping or similar parties and claims, related questions of fact or law, and would likely entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by different judges. Accordingly, assignment of the actions to the same district judge and magistrate judge will promote convenience, efficiency, and economy for the Court and the parties. An order relating cases under this Court's Local Rule 123 merely assigns them to the same district judge and magistrate judge and does not consolidate the cases. Under Rule 123, related cases are generally assigned to the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action was assigned. Local Rule 123(c) provides that "the Chief Judge or a Judge designated by the Chief Judge may, by special order, reassign either action to any Judge or Magistrate Judge sitting in the Eastern District of California as the situation may dictate."

Good cause appearing, this Court finds the above-captioned cases are related and **ORDERS** that the following actions be reassigned to No District Judge and United States Magistrate Judge Gary S. Austin. Going forward, all documents filed shall bear the following new case numbers:

Green v. State of California, et al., Case No. 23-cv-00973-NODJ-GSA

Robertson v. State of California, et al., Case No. 23-cv-00975-NODJ-GSA

Lipsey v. State of California, et al., Case No. 23-cv-00976-NODJ-GSA

Hart v. State of California, et al., Case No. 23-cv-00977-NODJ-GSA

Graham v. State of California, et al., Case No. 23-cv-01323-NODJ-GSA

Purdagone v. State of California, et al., Case No. 23-cv-01324-NODJ-GSA

It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to compensate for this reassignment.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: December 11, 2023.


CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE